ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Please [PRINT	all Info	mation	1	-	
95						
	_					_

Decision of Appeal	46		9E :=						
Creck One ✓ ☑ Disciplinary Appeal	☐ Grlevance	Appeal 🗌 Max	lmum Custody	/ Placement					
INMATE NAME (Last, First M.I.) (Please print)	ADC NUMBER	INSTITUTION/UNIT	-	CASE NUMBER					
KARBAN, STEPHEN F.	234332	A14 ASPC-E COOK U	NIT	17-A14-0705					
Your appeal in the above referenced case hat Officer is to:	s been reviewed. Th	e decision of the Warde	n/Deputy Wa	rden/Administrator/Hearing					
☐ Uphold the finding ☐ Modify the p	penalties 🔲 Ren	nand to the Hearing Offic	er for re-hearl	ng 🛛 Dismiss					
Findings and Conclusions:									
You have submitted an appeal for Adequacy o	f Proof and Severity of	Penally, I have reviewed	all document	ation within this case file.					
Due Process: An Administrative review was conducted in accordance with Department Order 803. You were served notice of the charge during the delivery of the charge conducted by the Disciplinary Coordinator on 09/07/17. You received sufficient time to prepare a defense to the charge prior to your Disciplinary hearing. The Disciplinary Hearing Officer, as sole Trier of Fact, has determined that the evidence presented to him in the form of documentation was sufficient to convince him that it is "more probable than not" that you violated ADC policy.									
I have discovered no violations of Due Process	in this case.								
Adequacy of Proof: Your case was reviewed during your hearing with the Disciplinary Hearing Officer (Trier of Fact) on 09/19/2017. The video evidence was reviewed by the Security Operations Administrator Ron Lee and concluded that you and your visitor did not comply with directives. CO II Ostrander remained professional, fair and consistent in addressing the observed behavior during your visit on September 02, 2017. The time to argue your case is during the hearing, not during the appeal process.									
There'ls no violation of Adequacy of Proof discovered during the case file review.									
Severily Of Penalty: I have reviewed the penalties and have determined that the penalties imposed are within the guidelines of an infraction of this nature. Behavior of this nature is considered disruptive to the saftey, security and orderly operation of the institution and will not be tolerated in a correctional setting. The continued noncompliance with visitation rules will result in adminstrative action may include suspension of visitation privileges and /or imposition of non-contact visitation status.									
There is no violation of Severity of Penalty discovered during the case file review.									
It has been confirmed that the Eyman Complex Warden Thompson did state that your disciplinary was dismissed. Disciplinary case #17-À14-0705 is dismissed.									
		X		×					
WARDEN/DEPUTY WARDEN/ADMINISTRATO	R/APPEALS OFFICER	NAME (Lest, First M.I.) (Plea	ise print)						
Baltlerra, V.		a. · ·	15	60					
SIGNATURE	or:		DATE (mi	τ/dd/γγγγ)					
/ Union Doc	there		8/	25/17					
nmate Received a copy of Decision of Appeal (Step I Only)									
DELIVERY OFFICER NAME (Last, First M.I.) (Please	print)								
IGNATURE FORA		1979	DATE (min	1/dd/sysys) 2017					
stribution. Coundingtor to make two copies of form:									

Goordinator to make two copies of form: Original – Master Record File Copy - Institutional File Copy - Inmate

803-6(e) 6/7/14

CASE NO. 19-cv-04377-DWL	
Stephen F. Karban	
VS. Vivian Baliterra	19
PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 6	
DATE:	IDEN.
DATE:	EVID.
BY:	
Denuty Clerk	